



# Meeting Minutes

**Project Title:** San Gabriel Valley Greenway Network Strategic Implementation Plan

**Purpose of Meeting:** Gather feedback on the Draft Prioritization Matrix, Community Engagement, and providing an update on the project website development

**Meeting Location:** Virtual via Teams  
See Microsoft Outlook Invite

**Date:** Nov 12, 2020

**Time:** 2:00 p.m.

## Attendees:

### Steering Committee Members

Aydin Pasebani, LAC B.O.S. SD1  
Martin Reyes, LAC B.O.S. SD1  
Daritza Gonzalez, LAC B.O.S SD4  
Anish Saraiya, LAC B.O.S. SD5  
Carolina Hernandez, LACFCD  
Katie Ward, SGVCOG  
Alexander Fung, SGVCOG  
Elaine Kunitake, LACPW TPP  
Wesley Reutimann, Active SGV  
Mark Stanley, RMC  
Sally Gee, RMC  
Belinda Faustinos, Nature For All  
Edna Robidas, Trust For Public Land

### Project Team

Dan Sharp, LACPW  
Enrique Baul, LACPW  
Jennifer Aborida, LACPW  
Michelle O'Connor, LACDPR  
Michelle Montano, LACDPR  
Sheela Mathai, LACDPR  
Deborah Enos, WCA  
Johnathan Perisho, WCA  
Lisa Skutecki, Brown and Caldwell  
Jesse Scolavino, Brown and Caldwell  
Jan Dyer, Studio-MLA  
Kevin Johnson, Studio-MLA  
Jean Yang, Studio-MLA  
Tony Howze, PACE  
Cherise Thompson, PACE  
Noe Noyola, MIG

## Meeting Summary

---

1. Introductions
  - a. Welcoming remarks from LAC
  - b. Team Review
2. PowerPoint Presentation
  - a. Schedule (BC)
    - i. Lisa Skutecki noted that we have been working on the prioritization matrix, the project website and community engagement efforts.

b. Prioritization Matrix (Studio-MLA)

- i. <https://arcg.is/1rfT5W>
- ii. Kevin Johnson reviewed the content presented in the previous SC meeting. The next step is to quantify the channels and score them next to each other. The scores presented today are drafts and input will be needed from the SC members.
- iii. Kevin Johnson noted that the channels are very long but segmenting them can help us understand each channel and opportunities within those channels. Metrics used for analysis and segment creation include ROW width, flood capacity, jurisdictional boundaries.
- iv. Wes Reutimann asked what qualifies as a bikeway?
- v. Kevin Johnson noted that they used Class I and Class IV for this effort.
- vi. Kevin Johnson noted that the numbers in the draft scoring matrix are preliminary and are subject to change. All the scores are relative to each other.
- vii. Belinda Faustinos noted that the lower part of San Jose Creek seems like it would have a higher score due to need in the area. The need in areas like La Puente seems like it should outweigh some of physical and jurisdictional boundary.
- viii. Kevin Johnson noted that the area does rank relatively high, but the score could be weighed down by ROW width possibly, lack of other projects in the area, or jurisdictional boundaries.
- ix. Dan Sharp noted that the weighting will need to be adjusted to accommodate for these issues. There might be opportunity to take out certain factors and see how communities' needs are met without other factors. There may be a need to split the matrix into multiple matrices that can show scores for each channel through subcategories.
- x. Martin Reyes noted that it would be nice to have a separate list of identified "easy wins" in case there is any short deadline funding that may arise.
- xi. Brown and Caldwell will work with LACPW to update and adjust matrix based on feedback from Steering Committee members.

c. Community Engagement (MIG)

- i. Noe Noyola introduced the new logo and noted that this logo is only for the planning effort only. This has no bearing for wayfinding and signage. The logo will also include icons, and more icons can be developed and added to the icon library.

d. Project Website Development (MIG)

- i. Noe Noyola noted that the website is in development and it is live, but not live to the public yet.
- ii. Noe Noyola noted that the next steps for outreach will include stakeholder interviews with Board of Supervisors, cities, and agencies, as well as community-based organizations.
- iii. Enrique Baul noted that community engagement is extremely important, and LAC will be working with WCA to continue the community engagement effort. WCA will be working alongside the consulting team on this effort.
- iv. Noe Noyola noted that after the stakeholder interviews, the next step would be a kickoff with the public in terms of workshops. This effort is currently planned for early 2021.
- v. Belinda Faustinos noted that another community-based organization that should be included is API Forward Movement, Kyle Tsukahira. Happy to facilitate connection to him, Claire at Amigos.

- vi. Noe Noyola noted that a map-based survey will be utilized with the public to allow them to drop pins within the buffer of the greenway project area. This will help the teams better understand specific areas of interest noted by the community.

### 3. Next Steps

- a. Project Team to send meeting summary and presentation to the SC members.
- b. Committee feedback on the data summaries needed by December 3, 2020.
- c. Future meeting dates
  - December 9, 2020 2 PM to 5 PM
  - January 13, 2021 2 PM to 5 PM